In-plane phonon transport in thin films
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The in-plane phonon thermal conductivities of argon and silicon thin films are predicted from the Boltzmann transport equation under the relaxation time approximation. We model the thin films using bulk phonon properties obtained from harmonic and anharmonic lattice dynamics calculations. The input required for the lattice dynamics calculations is obtained from interatomic potentials: Lennard-Jones for argon and Stillinger–Weber for silicon. The effect of the boundaries is included by considering only phonons with wavelengths that fit within the film and adjusting the relaxation times to account for mode-dependent, diffuse boundary scattering. Our model does not rely on the isotropic approximation or any fitting parameters. For argon films thicker than 4.3 nm and silicon films thicker than 17.4 nm, the use of bulk phonon properties is found to be appropriate and the predicted reduction in the in-plane thermal conductivity is in good agreement with results obtained from molecular dynamics simulation and experiment. We include the effects of boundary scattering without employing the Matthiessen rule. We find that the Matthiessen rule yields thermal conductivity predictions that are at most 12% lower than our more accurate results. Our results show that the average of the bulk phonon mean free path is an inadequate metric to use when modeling the thermal conductivity reduction in thin films. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3296394]

I. INTRODUCTION

The thermal transport properties of micro- and nanostructures can be significantly different than those of the corresponding bulk material. One example is the thin film, which is used in semiconductor laser,1 solar energy conversion,2,3 solid state lighting,4 and thermoelectric energy conversion devices.5–7 Experimental measurements show that the in-plane thermal conductivities of submicron thin films can be several factors lower than the thermal conductivity of the corresponding bulk material.8–10 This reduction can be problematic in devices where efficient heat removal is key to reliability (e.g., lasers), but beneficial when a low thermal conductivity is desired, such as in a thermoelectric energy conversion device.

The thermal conductivity reduction in a thin film is caused by phonon scattering at the film boundaries. For very thin films, the phonon populations are also affected, leading to phonon density of states (DOS) that differ from the bulk DOS.10–12 Analytical, carrier-level models, typically based on the Holland phonon relaxation time model and the Boltzmann transport equation, have been developed to try to understand the experimentally observed relationship between film thickness and thermal conductivity.13–16 These models, however, obscure the underlying phonon physics because they rely on fitting parameters and assume isotropic phonon properties. Studies of thin films using molecular dynamics (MD) simulation,11,17 while confirming the thermal conductivity reduction, have not been able to elucidate the underlying phonon-level mechanisms. While MD simulations can be used to predict phonon transport properties in bulk materials,18–22 it is unclear how the available techniques can be applied to thin films. Additionally, using MD simulation to access film thicknesses large enough to recover the bulk thermal conductivity is a daunting computational task.

Here, we present a procedure for predicting the in-plane phonon thermal conductivity of thin films using lattice dynamics (LD) calculations.19 Our procedure uses the properties of all the phonons in the Brillouin zone and does not rely upon fitting parameters. In Sec. II, we describe the theory behind LD calculations and present our methodology for modeling phonon transport in thin films. We then apply this procedure to Lennard–Jones (LJ) argon films with thicknesses ranging from 4.3 to 540 nm and Stillinger–Weber (SW) silicon films with thicknesses ranging from 17.4 to 71 200 nm in Sec. III. The films are oriented with the cross-plane direction parallel to the [001] crystallographic direction. We predict the in-plane phonon thermal conductivity of LJ argon thin films at a temperature of 20 K and SW silicon thin films at temperatures of 400 K, where predictions from classical MD simulations are available for comparison. SW silicon thin films are also modeled at a temperature of 300 K, for which experimental data are available. From the analysis, we find that the average of the bulk phonon mean free path (MFP) cannot be used in simple models to predict the thermal conductivity reduction in thin films, as suggested by others.25,26 Mode-dependent phonon properties, as used in this work, are required.

II. COMPUTATIONAL FRAMEWORK

A. Thermal conductivity from phonon properties

Consider a thin film oriented such that the cross-plane direction is along the z-axis, as shown in Fig. 1. The in-plane
phonon thermal conductivity (i.e., the thermal conductivity in the $x$- or $y$-direction), $k$, can be predicted from

$$k = \sum_{\kappa} \sum_{\nu} c_{\text{ph}}(\kappa, \nu) \frac{\kappa^2}{\nu^3} \tau(\kappa, \nu),$$  

(1)

which is derived by solving the Boltzmann transport equation under the relaxation time approximation and applying the Fourier law.\textsuperscript{19,27} The phonon specific heat, $c_{\text{ph}}$, x-component of group velocity, $v_{g,x}$, and relaxation time, $\tau$, all depend on the phonon mode, denoted by the wave vector, $\kappa$, and dispersion branch, $\nu$. These properties can be obtained from harmonic and anharmonic LD calculations.\textsuperscript{19}

Harmonic LD is a method for determining the natural frequencies of vibration (i.e., the phonon frequencies), $\omega$, of a crystal.\textsuperscript{28} In harmonic LD, the atomic interactions are described using the second derivatives of the total system potential energy with respect to the equilibrium atomic positions. The vibrations take the form of traveling waves with coordinates given by

$$Q_H(\kappa, \nu; t) = Q_{0,H}(\kappa, \nu) \exp\left[ i \omega(\kappa, \nu) t \right],$$  

(2)

where $Q_{0,H}(\kappa, \nu)$ is a constant and $t$ is time. In an infinite crystal lattice, the wave vector can take on any value in the Brillouin zone. In our calculations, we consider a finite number of wave vectors corresponding to a finite crystal lattice with periodic boundary conditions. In this case, the total number of wave vectors, $N$, is equal to the total number of unit cells and the number of dispersion branches is equal to three times the number of atoms in the unit cell.

In anharmonic LD, the third- and fourth-order derivatives of the potential energy are applied as a perturbation to the harmonic phonon modes. The phonons can then be described as traveling waves that decay and/or grow with time, with

$$Q_A(\kappa, \nu; t) = Q_{0,A}(\kappa, \nu) \exp\left[ i \left( \omega(\kappa, \nu) + \Delta(\kappa, \nu) \right) t + i \Gamma(\kappa, \nu) t \right],$$  

(3)

where $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ are the shift in the phonon frequency and the phonon-phonon scattering rate that result from anharmonic effects. The LD techniques assume that the atomic displacements from their equilibrium positions are much smaller than the interatomic spacing. These methods thus lose accuracy at high temperatures (above half of the Debye temperature), where the atomic displacements become large and the fifth- and higher-order derivatives cannot be neglected.\textsuperscript{19}

We compute the phonon properties needed to evaluate Eq. (1) from the frequencies and scattering rates found from the LD calculations. The $x$-component of group velocity is

$$v_{g,x}(\kappa, \nu) = \frac{d\omega(\kappa, \nu)}{d\kappa_x},$$  

(4)

where $\omega(\kappa, \nu) = \omega + \Delta$ and $\kappa_x$ is the $x$ component of the wave vector. The phonon-phonon relaxation time is related to the phonon-phonon scattering rate through

$$\tau_{p-p}(\kappa, \nu) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\kappa, \nu)}. $$  

(5)

The phonon specific heat is

$$c_{\text{ph}} = \frac{k_B \chi^2}{V} \exp(\chi) \left[ \exp(\chi) - 1 \right],$$  

(6)

where $V$ is the system volume, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $\chi = \hbar \omega(\kappa, \nu)/k_B T$ for the Planck constant divided by $2\pi$, $\hbar$, and temperature, $T$. Additional details on the theory and implementation of the LD methods are discussed elsewhere.\textsuperscript{19,29,30}

B. Implementation

Because a thin film has a finite thickness, LD calculations will only predict the existence of standing waves in the cross-plane ($z$) direction. These standing waves do not transport energy (i.e., they are non-propagating phonons). The scenario where no phonons propagate in the cross-plane direction is nonphysical. For very thick films, the phonon properties should approach those in a bulk crystal, where phonons propagate in all directions. Due to this issue, we cannot use LD calculations to directly predict the phonon properties of a thin film.

To predict the thermal conductivity of a thin film, we first use LD calculations to predict bulk phonon properties. We use lattice constants, $a$, of 5.32 Å for argon\textsuperscript{21} and 5.43 Å for silicon.\textsuperscript{18} For both materials, we use $32 \times 32 \times 32$ conventional unit cells and periodic boundaries in all three Cartesian directions to evaluate the bulk phonon properties. This Brillouin zone resolution is fine enough that increasing it does not appreciably change the bulk thermal conductivity predictions.

The effects of the boundaries are included by (i) only considering phonons with wavelengths that fit within the film and (ii) adjusting the relaxation times to account for boundary scattering. The constraint on the wavelength, which is inversely proportional to the wave vector magnitude, is expressed in terms of the cross-plane component of the wave vector, $\kappa_z$. This constraint can be written as

$$\kappa_z = \frac{2\pi l_z}{aN_z},$$  

(7)

where $N_z$ is the number of unit cells in the cross-plane direction and $l_z$ is an integer whose magnitude is less than $N_z/2$. The thickness of the film, $L_z$, is equal to $aN_z$. Equation (7)
also gives the valid wave vectors in the bulk system, where \( N_z = 32 \). In the thin films, \( N_z \) must be a factor or multiple of \( N_z \) in the bulk calculation. Otherwise, Eq. (7) gives wave vectors that are not found in the bulk system. We consider films with \( N_z = 2^j \), where \( j \) is a non-negative integer. Using this model, we thus only need to compute the bulk phonon properties \( (c_{ph}, v_{x,z}, \mathbf{1}_{p,p}) \) once for each combination of material and temperature.

To account for boundary scattering, we set
\[
\tau_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{k}) = F(\mathbf{k}) \tau_{p-p}(\mathbf{k}),
\]
where \( F(\mathbf{k}) \) is a mode-dependent scaling factor given by
\[
F(\mathbf{k}) = 1 - \frac{1 - p(\mathbf{k})}{1 - p(\mathbf{k}) \exp \left[-\delta(\mathbf{k})\right]}.
\]

Here, \( p(\mathbf{k}) \) is the specularity parameter and \( \delta(\mathbf{k}) = L_z / \left(v_{x,z}(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{1}_{p-p}(\mathbf{k})\right) \), with \( v_{x,z} \) being the cross-plane \( z \) component of the phonon group velocity. We note that \( \delta(\mathbf{k}) \) is the ratio of the film thicknesses to the \( z \) component of the phonon MFP in bulk. The specularity parameter is the probability that a particular phonon mode will reflect off the boundary. It ranges from zero for completely diffuse scattering to unity for completely specular scattering. In the Appendix, we derive Eqs. (8) and (9) from the Boltzmann transport equation under the relaxation time approximation.

Under the additional assumption that the boundary scattering is independent of the phonon-phonon scattering, the Matthiessen rule can be used to write \( 31,27 \)
\[
\frac{1}{\tau_{M}(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{1}{\tau_{p}(\mathbf{k})} + \frac{1}{\tau_{b}(\mathbf{k})},
\]
where \( \tau_{M} \) is the effective relaxation time and the boundary scattering relaxation time is given by
\[
\tau_{b}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1 + p(\mathbf{k})}{1 - p(\mathbf{k}) \left|v_{x,z}(\mathbf{k})\right| / L_z}.
\]

The Matthiessen rule is commonly used to combine phonon scattering mechanisms, but, to our knowledge, its accuracy has never been directly tested. Rewriting Eqs. (10) and (11) in the spirit of Eqs. (8) and (9), we find
\[
\tau_{M}(\mathbf{k}) = F_{M}(\mathbf{k}) \tau_{p-p}(\mathbf{k}),
\]
where

\[ \text{FIG. 2. (Color online) The scaling factors } F \text{ and } F_{M} [\text{Eqs. (9) and (13)}] \text{ plotted against } \delta \text{ for } p=0 \text{ and } p=0.5. \text{ The ratio } (F-F_{M})/F \text{ vs } \delta \text{ is shown in the inset for } p=0. \]

In Fig. 2, \( F \) and \( F_{M} \) are plotted against \( \delta \) for \( p=0 \) and \( p=0.5 \). Both \( F \) and \( F_{M} \) increase monotonically with \( p \) from a minimum at \( p=0 \) to a maximum at \( p=1 \), where \( F=F_{M}=1 \) for all values of \( \delta \). The Matthiessen rule overpredicts the effect of boundary scattering \( (F_{M} \leq F \text{ for all } p \text{ and } \delta) \). This overprediction is greatest when \( p=0 \) and monotonically decreases as \( p \) increases. Plotted in the inset of Fig. 2 is the ratio \((F-F_{M})/F \text{ for } p=0 \). From the plot, we see that \( F_{M} \) deviates from \( F \) by at most 12%. Thus, using the Matthiessen rule will result in an underprediction of the in-plane thermal conductivity by at most 12%. In our LD model, there is no cost advantage of using one boundary scattering expression over the other. Therefore, we opt to use the more accurate expressions, Eqs. (8) and (9), to compute the effective relaxation times used in Eq. (1).

Boundary scattering is commonly modeled using expressions similar to Eqs. (8) and (9) (Refs. 13, 15, 16, and 32–34) or Eqs. (10) and (11). In contrast to previous work, however, our expressions for \( F \) and \( \tau_{b} \) do not use the isotropic approximation. We instead use our ability to compute the properties of all phonons in the Brillouin zone to differentiate between the in-plane and cross-plane motions of each phonon mode. The LD framework does not, however, indicate the value of the specularity parameter, which, in general, depends on the phonon mode and the atomic structure at the boundary. We assume completely diffuse boundaries \( (p=0) \), rather than use \( p \) as a fitting factor, as done by others. 

We base this assumption on the idea that the reconstruction of free argon and silicon surfaces disrupts phonons traveling in the cross-plane direction, leading to a high probability that phonons incident on the boundary will scatter diffusely. Similarly, for thin films bounded by an amorphous material (such as a silicon thin film bounded by silica layers), we believe that the transition from a crystalline to an amorphous material presents a large disruption to the phonon propagation and will diffusely scatter the majority of incident phonons.
TABLE I. Predicted and/or measured bulk thermal conductivities for argon and silicon. We estimate the uncertainty in all the LD predictions (due to the finite Brillouin zone resolution) to be less than ±5% (Ref. 19). The uncertainty in the argon MD predictions is less than ±5% (Ref. 21). Based on typical predictions for SW silicon, the uncertainty in the silicon Green–Kubo MD result is estimated to be ±20% (Refs. 17, 41, and 42).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$k$ (W/m K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argon</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>LD (classical)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argon</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Green–Kubo MD$^a$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>LD (classical)</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>Green–Kubo MD$^b$</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>LD (quantum)</td>
<td>560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Experiment$^c$</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reference 21.
$^b$References 43 and 44.
$^c$Reference 45.

III. RESULTS
A. Thermal conductivity predictions

First, consider LJ argon at a temperature of 20 K. The LD-based predictions are made using phonon properties in the classical limit$^{19}$ so that they may be compared to thermal conductivity predictions made with the Green–Kubo method using classical MD simulation.$^{40}$ The bulk thermal conductivities predicted by these two methods are given in Table I. The two predictions are in good agreement because the small atomic displacement assumption is valid at this temperature.$^{19}$ In Fig. 3, the thin film to bulk thermal conductivity ratio is plotted against film thickness. We use the thermal conductivity ratio to minimize differences between the different prediction methods. The thermal conductivity ratios predicted by the LD and Green–Kubo MD methods are in excellent agreement for films thicker than 4.3 nm, suggesting that the assumption of diffuse boundary scattering is valid for LJ argon thin films. The LD-based predictions for films thinner than 4.3 nm diverge from the Green–Kubo MD results. We believe that this disagreement is due to differences between the thin film and bulk phonon DOS.

As evidence for this assertion, the phonon DOS for a 2.1 nm thick LJ argon film at a temperature of 0 K computed for a structure with free surfaces that is relaxed using MD is plotted in Fig. 4.$^{12}$ Also plotted is the DOS for a 2.1 nm thick film embedded in bulk [i.e., limited to bulk phonons that satisfy Eq. (7)]. There are notable differences between the DOS of the free and embedded films, particularly between frequencies of 0.5 and 0.8 rad/ps, where the DOS of the free film is larger than the DOS of the embedded film. As the film thickness increases, the DOS of the free and embedded films converge to the bulk DOS. For films thinner than 2.1 nm, the DOS of the free and embedded structures become more distinct. This qualitative comparison between the DOS of the free and embedded films suggests that our LD-based model for in-plane thin film thermal conductivity, which uses the phonon population of the embedded film, cannot be applied to LJ argon films with thicknesses of 2.1 nm (eight atomic layers) or less. This assessment is confirmed by the predicted thin films thermal conductivities, where, for films smaller than 4.3 nm (16 atomic layers), the predicted thermal conductivities diverge from the Green–Kubo results. Similarly, from the DOS of free and embedded SW silicon films and the predicted thermal conductivities, we find our LD-based approach to be appropriate when the film thickness is 17.4 nm (128 atomic layers) or greater.

For the SW silicon thin films, the LD-based results at a temperature of 400 K are computed in the classical limit so that they may be compared to Green–Kubo MD predictions.$^{17}$ The predicted bulk thermal conductivities are given in Table I. The LD-based bulk thermal conductivity prediction is 52% higher than the corresponding Green–Kubo MD prediction. This difference is due to the low-temperature approximations inherent in the LD technique. As shown in Fig. 5(a), our LD model underpredicts the SW silicon thin film thermal conductivity ratio found from Green–Kubo MD by 30% on average. The majority of this underprediction is likely caused by our assumption of completely diffuse boundary scattering. Assuming partially specular boundary scattering would increase the thermal conductivity. The underprediction may also be partially due to the low-temperature approximations inherent in the LD methods, leading to an overprediction of the phonon-phonon relaxation times and an underprediction of $F$.

We compare the available experimental measurements at a temperature of 300 K (Refs. 13, 14, 16, and 46) to quantum

FIG. 3. (Color online) Thin film thermal conductivity as a fraction of the bulk thermal conductivity for LJ argon at a temperature of 20 K predicted from LD calculations and MD simulations using the Green–Kubo method.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Phonon DOS for 2.1 nm LJ argon thin films at a temperature of 0 K with free surfaces (Free) and embedded in bulk (Embedded).
Comparing Eq. (9) to Eqs. (1) and (8), we see that \( \Lambda \) is a weighted average of \( V_{\alpha} \tau_{\phi} F \). The classical effective MFP for bulk LJ argon at a temperature of 20 K is 4.03 nm. For SW silicon at a temperature of 300 K, the bulk quantum (classical) effective MFP is 243 nm (125 nm). The reductions in the effective MFPs in the thin films are the same as the reductions in the thermal conductivity (i.e., \( \Lambda_{\text{film}} / \Lambda_{\text{bulk}} = k_{\text{film}} / k_{\text{bulk}} \)). The reductions in the thermal conductivity and MFPs for the thin films are driven by the boundary scattering [Eq. (9)], which, under the assumption of diffuse scattering, does a good job of accounting for the altered phonon dynamics. In Fig. 6, the thermal conductivity ratio is plotted versus \( L_z / \Lambda_{\text{bulk}} \) for the LJ argon film and the SW silicon film at a temperature of 300 K. Also plotted in Fig. 6 are the two proposed simple models\(^{25,26} \) for predicting the reduction in the in-plane thermal conductivity using the bulk MFP. Neither expression can predict the differing rates of convergence to bulk of the LJ argon and SW silicon thin film thermal conductivity ratios.

The frequency-dependent contribution to the thermal conductivity for bulk SW silicon and for the 556 and 34.8 nm thin films at a temperature of 300 K are plotted in Fig. 7. The area under each curve is proportional to the total thermal conductivity. Phonon modes with frequencies below 50 rad/ps dominate thermal transport. The film boundaries have the largest impact on these phonon modes. The phonon modes with higher frequencies, which contribute little to the total thermal conductivity, are less sensitive to the boundaries. These results can be explained by noting that high-frequency phonons generally have small group velocities and phonon-phonon relaxation times. In the limit of zero group-velocity or phonon-phonon relaxation time, \( F \) is unity [see Eq. (9)] and the boundaries do not affect the phonon proper-

**B. Insights from phonon properties**

Using our LD results, we compute the average phonon MFP, \( \Lambda \), from

\[
\Lambda = \frac{k}{\kappa_{\text{eff}} \sum_{\nu} v_{\nu} \kappa_{\nu}}.
\]

Comparing Eq. (14) to Eqs. (1) and (8), we see that \( \Lambda \) is a weighted average of \( V_{\alpha} \tau_{\phi} F \). The classical effective MFP for bulk LJ argon at a temperature of 20 K is 4.03 nm. For SW silicon at a temperature of 300 K (400 K), the bulk quantum (classical) effective MFP is 243 nm (125 nm). The reductions in the effective MFPs in the thin films are the same as the reductions in the thermal conductivity (i.e., \( \Lambda_{\text{film}} / \Lambda_{\text{bulk}} = k_{\text{film}} / k_{\text{bulk}} \)). The reductions in the thermal conductivity and MFPs for the thin films are driven by the boundary scattering [Eq. (9)], which, under the assumption of diffuse scattering, does a good job of accounting for the altered phonon dynamics. In Fig. 6, the thermal conductivity ratio is plotted versus \( L_z / \Lambda_{\text{bulk}} \) for the LJ argon film and the SW silicon film at a temperature of 300 K. Also plotted in Fig. 6 are the two proposed simple models\(^{25,26} \) for predicting the reduction in the in-plane thermal conductivity using the bulk MFP. Neither expression can predict the differing rates of convergence to bulk of the LJ argon and SW silicon thin film thermal conductivity ratios.

The frequency-dependent contribution to the thermal conductivity for bulk SW silicon and for the 556 and 34.8 nm thin films at a temperature of 300 K are plotted in Fig. 7. The area under each curve is proportional to the total thermal conductivity. Phonon modes with frequencies below 50 rad/ps dominate thermal transport. The film boundaries have the largest impact on these phonon modes. The phonon modes with higher frequencies, which contribute little to the total thermal conductivity, are less sensitive to the boundaries. These results can be explained by noting that high-frequency phonons generally have small group velocities and phonon-phonon relaxation times. In the limit of zero group-velocity or phonon-phonon relaxation time, \( F \) is unity [see Eq. (9)] and the boundaries do not affect the phonon proper-

**FIG. 5.** (Color online) Silicon thin film thermal conductivity normalized by the bulk thermal conductivity as found from (a) classical LD calculations and the Green–Kubo MD method at a temperature of 400 K and (b) quantum LD calculations and experiment at a temperature of 300 K.

**FIG. 6.** (Color online) Thin film thermal conductivity ratio \( k_{\text{film}} / k_{\text{bulk}} \) versus \( L_z / \Lambda_{\text{bulk}} \) for argon and silicon (300 K) thin films and estimations derived from theory.

**FIG. 7.** (Color online) Contribution to the phonon thermal conductivity vs frequency for SW silicon in bulk and 556 and 34.8 nm thin films at a temperature of 300 K. The area under each curve is proportional to the total thermal conductivity.
ties. For thinner films, however, the boundaries affect even the high-frequency phonons, as illustrated by the reduction in the peak at 86 rad/ps in the 34.8 nm film.

The information contained in Fig. 7 can be used to devise strategies to alter the in-plane thermal transport properties of silicon thin films. For example, to reduce the in-plane thermal conductivity, films could be fabricated with embedded nanoparticles where the size of the nanoparticles is tuned to scatter phonons of specific wavelengths.9–11 In bulk, the most effective nanoparticles would be those that scatter phonons with wavelengths that correspond to frequencies around 10 rad/ps. In thin films, however, the most effective nanoparticles would scatter phonons with frequencies closer to 30 rad/ps.

The in-plane thermal conductivity of a thin film can be increased by reducing the probability that phonons will scatter diffusely at its boundary. Careful fabrication and intelligent selection of the materials bonded to the surfaces of the thin film (i.e., controlling the substrate material and growth process) will increase the thermal conductivity. High quality interfaces encourage specular phonon scattering and the transmission of phonons between the thin film and the adjacent material, particularly for long wavelength phonon modes, which tend to have low frequencies.10

IV. CONCLUSION

The in-plane thermal conductivity of a thin film is reduced from the corresponding bulk value. The thinner the film, the more severe the reduction. Efforts to explain the mechanisms behind this reduction are complicated by the routine use of fitting parameters and the isotropic approximation when modeling phonon transport. To eliminate these complications, we developed a methodology for predicting the in-plane thermal conductivity of thin films. This method uses bulk phonon properties obtained from LD calculations along with the Boltzmann transport equation under the relaxation time approximation. The effects of the boundaries are included by considering only those phonons with wavelengths that fit within the film and adjusting the relaxation times to account for mode-dependent, diffuse boundary scattering [see Eqs. (8) and (9)]. Using our boundary scattering expression, we showed that using the Matthiessen rule will result in an underprediction of the in-plane thin film thermal conductivity of at most 12%.

Given that bulk phonon properties are being used, we do not expect our LD model to work for very thin films, where the phonon population deviates from that of a bulk system. We find that the use of bulk phonon properties is appropriate for LJ argon films thicker than 4.3 nm and SW silicon films thicker than 17.4 nm. Our LD-based thermal conductivity predictions for argon thin films at a temperature of 20 K are in agreement with predictions made using the Green–Kubo MD method (see Fig. 3). This agreement supports our assumption that phonon-boundary scattering is diffuse in LJ argon films.

When compared to Green–Kubo MD predictions for SW silicon at a temperature of 400 K, our LD model overpredicts the thermal conductivity by an average of 30% (see Fig. 5), suggesting the existence of partially specular phonon-boundary scattering. The LD-predicted reductions in the thermal conductivity are in good agreement with the lower bound of the available experimental measurements. This last result, combined with the spread in the experimental data, indicates that the quality of the thin film boundary affects the phonon-boundary scattering, creating boundaries that can be partially specular to completely diffuse.

Upon computing the bulk MFPs in the argon and silicon systems, we found that the reduction in the in-plane thin film thermal conductivity cannot be explained by this parameter alone. Instead, the properties of the individual phonon modes are required. Knowledge of the phonon properties can also be used to gain insight into the mechanisms of phonon transport through bulk crystals and nanostructures and to quantify the importance of individual phonon modes. This insight can potentially be used to devise and tune techniques to adjust the thermal conductivity of nanostructured materials.
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APPENDIX: EFFECT OF BOUNDARY SCATTERING ON PHONON RELAXATION TIMES

The effect of boundary scattering on phonon transport is discussed by Ziman39 and Srivastava.27 In developing a framework for analysis, they both assume isotropic phonon properties and that the phonon-phonon and phonon-boundary scattering mechanisms are independent [i.e., they apply the Matthiessen rule, Eq. (10)]. We make neither assumption in the following derivation.

We begin with the Boltzmann transport equation for an individual phonon mode,

\[ v_g \cdot \nabla f_T = \frac{\partial f_T}{\partial t} \bigg|_{\text{coll}}, \]

where the dependence on the wave vector and dispersion branch [i.e., \( f_{\text{branch}} \)] is omitted for clarity. The total phonon distribution function, \( f_T \), is

\[ f_T = f + \epsilon, \]

where \( \epsilon \) is the deviation from the equilibrium distribution, \( f \). The relaxation time approximation allows us to write

\[ \frac{\partial f_T}{\partial t} \bigg|_{\text{coll}} = \frac{f - f_T}{\tau_{pp}}. \]

Combining Eqs. (A1)–(A3) yields

\[ \nabla Tv_g \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v_{g,z} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial z} = -\epsilon_{\tau_{pp}}, \]

where we have taken the temperature gradient to be along the \( x \)-direction and the boundaries to be perpendicular to the
z-direction, as shown in Fig. 1. In deriving Eq. (A4), we set \( \partial f / \partial \gamma = \partial f / \partial z = 0 \) because there is no temperature variation in the y- and z-directions. We also set \( \partial \epsilon / \partial y = 0 \) due to the absence of boundaries along the y-direction and assume \( \partial \epsilon / \partial x \) to be small in comparison to the other terms.

The solution to Eq. (A4) is

\[
e(\gamma) = A \exp \left( -\frac{\gamma}{v_{g,z} T_{p,p}} \right) - \frac{T_{p,p}}{\tau_0},
\]

where A is a mode-dependent constant and

\[
\tau_0 = \left[ \frac{v_{g,z}}{v_{g,x}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \gamma} \right]^{-1}.
\]

(A6)

We assume that phonons traveling toward a boundary are either specularly reflected with probability p or scattered to the equilibrium distribution (i.e., \( \epsilon = 0 \)) with probability \( 1 - p \). The boundary conditions then take the forms

\[
e'(\gamma = -L_z/2) = p e'(\gamma = -L_z/2),
\]

(A7)

\[
e'(\gamma = L_z/2) = p e'(\gamma = L_z/2),
\]

(A8)

where the superscript “+” denotes a phonon traveling toward the top surface (located at \( \gamma = L_z/2 \)) while the superscript “−” denotes a phonon traveling toward the bottom surface (at \( \gamma = -L_z/2 \)). In Eqs. (A7) and (A8), the incident and reflected phonons are equivalent except that \( k_z^s = -k_z^c \) and \( v_z^s = -v_z^c \) (i.e., the scattering event is elastic).

Applying the boundary conditions to Eq. (A5), we obtain

\[
e^+ = e - \frac{L_z \pm 2z}{2v_x T_{p,p}} \frac{T_{p,p} + p e^+}{\tau_0} - \frac{T_{p,p}}{\tau_0},
\]

(A9)

Successive elimination of \( e^- (L_z/2) \) and \( e^+(L_z/2) \) yields

\[
e^+(z) = \frac{T_{p,p}}{\tau_0} \exp \left( \frac{L_z \mp 2z}{2v_x T_{p,p}} \right) \frac{1 - p}{1 - p \exp(-\delta)} - \frac{T_{p,p}}{\tau_0},
\]

(A10)

where \( \delta = L_z / (v_x T_{p,p}) \). The heat flux in the x-direction is

\[
q_x = \sum_{\kappa,p} \left[ \frac{\hbar v_x \delta}{V L_z} \int_{-L_z/2}^{L_z/2} e(\gamma) d\gamma \right] = -\sum_{\kappa,p} \frac{\hbar v_x \delta}{V v_{g,x}} \frac{T_{p,p} 0}{\tau_0} F_x.
\]

(A11)

where

\[
F_x = 1 - \frac{1 - p}{\delta} \frac{1 - \exp(-\delta)}{1 - p \exp(-\delta)}.
\]

(A12)

The thermal conductivity in the x-direction is then

\[
k = \frac{-q_x}{\partial T/\partial \gamma} = \sum_{\kappa,p} \tau_{p,p} v_{g,x} F_x.
\]

(A13)

We note that Fuchs and Sondheimer derived a similar expression for \( F \) using the Boltzmann transport equation applied to electrons under the isotropic approximation. Additional manipulations, beyond the derivation presented here, are required to incorporate the isotropic approximation. We believe that our more general and simpler expression for \( F \) is easier to interpret and implement.

34K. Fuchs, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 34, 100 (1938).
40The Green–Kubo MD method is used to predict the thermal conductivity of IL3 argon thin films using five independent simulations of 9.0 ns with the first 0.4 ns used to equilibrate the system. Four conventional unit cells with a fixed lattice parameter of 5.315 Å are used in the in-plane directions. Based on the scatter in the independent in-plane thermal conductivity predictions, the error is estimated to be ±15%. For additional details on the Green–Kubo methodology, see Refs. 21 and 51.
42D. P. Sellan, E. S. Landry, J. E. Turney, A. J. H. McGaughey, and C. H.
The thermal conductivity for bulk SW silicon at a temperature of 400 K is estimated by fitting a power law to the reported thermal conductivity predictions at temperatures of 300, 500, 700, and 1000 K.


